

# Homeomorphisms of Banach spaces over non-Archimedean fields with products of fields.

S.V. Ludkovsky.

27 September 2012

## Аннотация

The article is devoted to topological homeomorphisms of Banach spaces over complete non-Archimedean normed infinite fields with products of copies of the fields.<sup>1</sup>

address: Department of Applied Mathematics,  
Moscow State Technical University MIREA, av. Vernadsky 78,  
Moscow, 119454, Russia  
e-mail: Ludkowski@mirea.ru

## 1 Introduction.

Mathematical analysis over infinite non-Archimedean normed fields is developing fast during recent years [12, 13, 14, 5]. Certainly structure of totally disconnected topological spaces, as well as manifolds and Banach spaces over non-Archimedean infinite fields is important not only for general topology, but also for mathematical analysis. Previously non-archimedean polyhedral expansions of totally disconnected

---

<sup>1</sup> 2010 Mathematics subject classification: 46A04, 46B20, 12J25, 26E30

keywords: Banach space, field, homeomorphism

$T_1 \cap T_{3.5}$  topological spaces and non-archimedean Banach manifolds were studied in [6, 7, 8, 9].

The Banach space  $c_0$  in the non-archimedean analysis plays the same principal role as the Hilbert space  $l_2$  in the classical analysis over  $\mathbf{R}$  (see Theorems 5.13 and 5.16 in [12]). This article is devoted to topological homeomorphisms of Banach spaces over complete non-Archimedean normed infinite fields with products of copies of the fields (see Theorem 2 below). All main results of this paper are obtained for the first time.

## 2 Homeomorphisms of linear topological spaces.

**1. Notation.** For a topological space  $X$  supplied with a metric  $\rho$  defining its topology let  $B(X, y, r)$  denote a ball  $\{z \in X : \rho(z, y) \leq r\}$  of radius  $r > 0$  containing a marked point  $y$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{K}$  is a field with a multiplicative norm having values in  $[0, \infty) \subset \mathbf{R}$  satisfying the inequality

$$(1) |x + y| \leq \max(|x|, |y|) \text{ for all elements } x, y \in \mathbf{K}.$$

Such norm is called non-Archimedean, where  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}} := \{|x| : x \in \mathbf{K}, x \neq 0\}$  is a multiplicative group. For example,  $\mathbf{Q}_p \subset \mathbf{K}$  or  $\mathbf{F}_p(\theta) \subset \mathbf{K}$ , where  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  denotes the field of  $p$ -adic numbers,  $\mathbf{F}_p(\theta)$  denotes the field of formal Laurent series over the finite field  $\mathbf{F}_p$ , where  $p > 1$  is a prime number. Each  $p$ -adic number  $x \in \mathbf{Q}_p$  has the decomposition  $x = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n p^n$ , where  $a_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  for each  $n$ ,  $N = N(x) \in \mathbf{Z}$  is an integer number so that  $a_N \neq 0$ ,  $|x| = |x|_p = p^{-cN}$ ,  $c > 0$  is a constant on  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , particularly,  $c = 1$  can be taken, as usually  $\mathbf{Z}$  denotes the ring of all integers. The characteristic of the  $p$ -adic field is zero  $\text{char}(\mathbf{Q}_p) = 0$ , while the characteristic of  $\mathbf{F}_p(\theta)$  is  $p = \text{char}(\mathbf{F}_p(\theta)) > 1$  positive.

Each element  $x \in \mathbf{F}_p(\theta)$  has the series decomposition  $x = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} b_n \theta^n$ , where  $N = N(x) \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $b_n \in \mathbf{F}_p$ ,  $b_N \neq 0$ ,  $|x| = |x|_p = p^{-cN}$ ,  $c > 0$  is a constant on the field  $\mathbf{F}_p(\theta)$ , particularly,  $c = 1$  can be taken. These fields differ by their multiplication and addition rules.

Then it is possible to take an algebraic or a transcendental extension of an initial field and its uniform or spherical completion if it is not such. The

field  $\mathbf{C}_p$  of complex  $p$ -adic numbers is obtained as the uniform completion of a field containing all finite algebraic extensions of the  $p$ -adic field  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  with the norm extending that of on  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . The fields  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbf{F}_p(\theta)$  are locally compact, the field  $\mathbf{C}_p$  is not locally compact. The normalization group  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}} := \{|x| : x \in \mathbf{K}, x \neq 0\}$  is multiplicative and commutative, for  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}_p$  it is isomorphic with  $\{p^n : n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ , for  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{C}_p$  it is isomorphic with  $\{p^x : x \in \mathbf{Q}\}$ , where  $\mathbf{Q}$  denotes the field of all rational numbers. A larger field  $\mathbf{U}_p$  exists so that  $\mathbf{C}_p$  can be isometrically embedded into  $\mathbf{U}_p$  and the normalization group  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{U}_p}$  is isomorphic with  $\{p^x : x \in \mathbf{R}\}$  (see [2, 13, 15]).

More generally a field  $\mathbf{K}$  having a multiplicative norm  $|x|$  with values in a linearly ordered commutative topological ring  $\mathcal{R}$  can be considered so that  $|x + y| \leq \max(|x|, |y|)$ . Suppose that the ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is complete as the uniform space (see [3]) and 0 denotes the neutral element relative to the addition and 1 is the unit element relative to the multiplication in  $\mathcal{R}$ ; moreover, if  $p > 1$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} p^{-n} = 0$ , where  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . We consider the case, when an element  $x \in \mathbf{K}$  exists so that  $|x| = p > 1$ . For example,  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbf{R}^\gamma$ , where  $\gamma$  is an ordinal, while elements  $z$  in  $\mathbf{R}^\gamma$  are ordered lexicographically:  $y < z$ , if  $y_j = z_j$  for each  $j < k$  and  $y_k < z_k$  for some  $k \in \gamma$ , where  $\mathbf{R}$  denotes the field of real numbers. Non-zero elements  $x \neq 0$  in the field  $\mathbf{K}$  have norms belonging to the multiplicative group  $G$  of the ring  $\mathcal{R}$ . We suppose that the normalization group  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}} := \{|x| : x \in \mathbf{K} \setminus \{0\}\}$  of the field  $\mathbf{K}$  is infinite and the closure of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}$  in the ring  $\mathcal{R}$  contains the zero point 0, naturally,  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}} \subset \{z \in \mathcal{R} : z > 0\}$ ; also  $|x| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0 \in \mathbf{K}$ .

Traditionally  $c_0(\alpha, \mathbf{K}) =: c_0(\alpha)$  denotes the normed space over the field  $\mathbf{K}$  consisting of all nets  $x = \{x_j : j \in \alpha, x_j \in \mathbf{K}\}$  so that for each  $\epsilon > 0$  the set  $\lambda(x, \epsilon) := \{j \in \alpha : |x_j| > \epsilon\}$  is finite, where  $\alpha$  is a set, the norm of  $x$  is:

$$(2) \|x\| := \sup_{j \in \alpha} |x_j|.$$

That is, either  $|\alpha| < \aleph_0$  or  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}$  is discrete in  $\mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathcal{R}$  relative to the interval topology induced by its linear ordering.

For a normed  $\mathbf{K}$ -linear space  $E$  two vectors  $x, y \in E$  are called orthogonal, if  $\|ax + by\| = \max(\|ax\|, \|by\|)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbf{K}$ . For a real number  $0 < t \leq 1$  a finite or an infinite sequence of elements  $x_j \in E$  is called  $t$ -orthogonal, if  $\|a_1x_1 + \dots + a_mx_m + \dots\| \geq t \max(\|a_1x_1\|, \dots, \|a_mx_m\|, \dots)$  for each  $a_1, \dots, a_m, \dots \in$

$\mathbf{K}$  with  $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_mx_m + \dots \in E$ .

The standard orthonormal in the non-Archimedean sense base in  $c_0(\alpha, \mathbf{K})$  is  $e_j := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  with 1 at the  $j$ -th place. The space  $c_0(\alpha, \mathbf{K})$  is Banach, when a non-Archimedean field  $\mathbf{K}$  is complete as a uniform space. Henceforward, we consider the field  $\mathbf{K}$  complete as the uniform space, if something other will not be specified.

Let  $\omega_0$  denote the first countable ordinal, for example,  $\mathbf{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

We consider the product  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} X_j$  of topological spaces  $X_j$  supplied with the Tychonoff (product) topology  $\tau_{ty}$  with the base  $U = \prod_{j \in \alpha} U_j$ , where each  $U_j$  is open in  $X_j$  and only a finite number of  $U_j$  is different from  $X_j$  for a given  $U$  (see [3]). Henceforth, a locally compact field  $\mathbf{K}$  is considered so that  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}$  is discrete. In this case let  $p$  be such that

$$p^{-1} := \sup\{|x| : x \in \mathbf{K}, |x| < 1\}.$$

**2. Theorem.** *The Banach space  $c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K}) =: c_0$  over a uniformly complete non-Archimedean field  $\mathbf{K}$  supplied with its norm topology  $\tau_n$  is topologically homeomorphic with the countable product  $\mathbf{K}^{\omega_0}$  of the non-Archimedean normed infinite field  $\mathbf{K}$ , where  $\mathbf{K}^{\omega_0}$  is supplied with the Tychonoff topology  $\tau_{ty}$ .*

The proof of this theorem is divided into several steps.

**3. Remark.** The condition that the field is infinite is essential. If the field is finite, then it is discrete and compact, consequently, the product  $\mathbf{K}^{\omega_0}$  of compact topological spaces is compact. But the linear topological space  $\mathbf{c}_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K})$  is not compact, since the covering by clopen (closed and open simultaneously) balls  $B(\mathbf{c}_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K}), e_jx_m, 1/p)$ ,  $j \in \omega_0$ , is infinite and has not any finite sub-covering, where  $\{x_m : m\}$  are all distinct elements of the field  $\mathbf{K}$ ,  $|x| = 1$  for each  $x \neq 0$ ,  $|0| = 0$ ,  $p > 1$ .

On the other hand, for the cardinality  $\text{card}(\alpha) > \aleph_0 := \text{card}(\omega_0)$  of the set  $\alpha$  greater than  $\aleph_0$  the base of neighborhoods of zero in  $\mathbf{K}^\alpha$  is uncountable, but  $c_0(\alpha, \mathbf{K})$  has a countable base of neighborhoods of zero. Therefore, the topological spaces  $c_0(\alpha, \mathbf{K})$  and  $\mathbf{K}^\alpha$  are not homeomorphic when  $\text{card}(\alpha) > \aleph_0$ .

A topology on the product  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} X_j$  of spaces stronger than the Tychonoff topology is given by the base  $U = \prod_{j \in \alpha} U_j$ , where each  $U_j$  is open in  $X_j$ . This topology is called the box topology  $\tau_b$  [10].

We use the notation  $\mathbf{s} := \mathbf{K}^{\omega_0}$ ,  $\mathbf{s}^\alpha := \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mathbf{K}_j$  for a subset  $\alpha \subset \omega_0$ , where  $\mathbf{s}$  and  $\mathbf{s}^\alpha$  are supplied with the product Tychonoff topology.

**4. Lemma.** *If  $\alpha \subset \omega_0$  and  $\beta = \omega_0 \setminus \alpha$  are disjoint subsets in  $\omega_0$  and  $\alpha \neq \emptyset$  is non-void, then*

- (1)  $\mathbf{s}$  and  $\mathbf{s}^\alpha \times \mathbf{s}^\beta$  are homeomorphic;
- (2)  $c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K})$  and  $c_0(\alpha, \mathbf{K}) \times c_0(\beta, \mathbf{K})$  are homeomorphic.
- (3). Moreover, if  $\alpha$  is infinite, then  $\mathbf{s}^\alpha$  is homeomorphic with  $\mathbf{s}$ , while  $c_0(\alpha, \mathbf{K})$  is homeomorphic with  $c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K})$ .

(1, 3). This Lemma is evident, since  $\text{card}(\omega_0^2) = \text{card}(\omega_0) = \aleph_0$ .

(2). If  $x \in c_0(\alpha, \mathbf{K})$  and  $y \in c_0(\beta, \mathbf{K})$ , then  $\lim_j x_j = 0$  when  $\alpha$  is infinite and  $\lim_k y_k = 0$  when  $\beta$  is infinite, hence  $\lim_l z_l = 0$ , where  $z_l = x_l$  for  $l \in \alpha$ ,  $z_l = y_l$  for  $l \in \beta$ , also  $\alpha \cup \beta = \omega_0$ . At the same time  $\|z\| = \sup_{l \in \omega_0} |z_l| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$ .

**5. Definitions.** Let  $\pi_\alpha : c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K}) \rightarrow c_0(\alpha, \mathbf{K})$  or  $\pi_\alpha : \mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{s}^\alpha$  denote the natural projection. In particular, if  $\alpha = \{j\}$  is a singleton we can write  $\pi_j$  instead of  $\pi_\alpha$ .

A subset  $E$  in  $c_0(\alpha, \mathbf{K})$  or in  $\mathbf{s}^\alpha$  is called deficient in the  $j$ -th direction, if  $\pi_j(E)$  is a singleton (i.e. consists of a single element). A subset  $E$  in  $c_0(\alpha, \mathbf{K})$  or in  $\mathbf{s}^\alpha$  is called infinitely deficient if for some infinite subset  $\beta \subset \alpha$ , each projection  $\pi_j(E)$  is a singleton for every  $j \in \beta$ . In such case  $E$  will also be called deficient with respect to  $\beta$ . Henceforth, the term mapping will be used for continuous functions.

Suppose that  $A$  is a topological space and  $B$  is its subset and  $f$  is a mapping of  $B$  into  $A$ . One says that the mapping  $f$  is limited by an open covering  $W$  of  $A$  if for each point  $x \in B$  there exists an element  $V_x \in W$  so that  $x \in V_x$  and  $f(x) \in V_x$ .

If  $A$  is a metric space supplied with a metric  $\rho$ , then the supremum  $\sup_{V \in W} \text{diam}(V)$  is called the mesh of a covering  $W$ , where  $\text{diam}(V) := \sup_{a, b \in V} \rho(a, b)$ .

Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m, \dots$  be a sequence of mappings such that the limit  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m \circ f_{m-1} \circ \dots \circ f_1 : X \rightarrow X$  exists, where  $X$  is a topological space. This limit is denoted by  $L \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j$  and is called the infinite left product of the mappings  $f_j$ .

We consider the subsets  $A_0 := \{x \in c_0 : \sup_{i \in \mathbf{N}} |\sum_{j=1}^i x_j| = 1\}$  and  $E^j := \{x \in c_0 : x = (x_1, x_2, \dots), x_k = 0 \forall k > j\}$  in  $c_0 := c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K})$ .

**Lemma 6.** *The topological spaces  $\mathbf{K}$  and  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{1\}$  are topologically homeomorphic, where  $\mathbf{K}$  is a field (see §1).*

**Proof.** We take any element  $p \in G$  so that  $p > 1$  and  $p = |x|$  for some invertible element  $x \in \mathbf{K}$  (see §1). Therefore,  $1/p^n = p^{-n}$  tends to zero in the topological ring  $\mathcal{R}$  while  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  tends to  $+\infty$ . Then the topological field  $\mathbf{K}$  can be written as the disjoint union of clopen subsets  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ ,  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p) \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1), \dots, B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^{n+1}) \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^n), \dots$  with  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

The norm in  $\mathbf{K}$  is multiplicative, consequently,  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^n) = x^n B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ , where  $XY := \{z = xy : x \in X, y \in Y\}$  for two subsets  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\mathbf{K}$ . Thus subsets  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^{n+1})$  and  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^m)$  are isomorphic for all  $n, m \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Moreover, we have the equalities  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{1\} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} [B(\mathbf{K}, 1, p^{-n}) \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 1, p^{-n-1})]$  and  $B(\mathbf{K}, y, r) = y + B(\mathbf{K}, 0, r)$  for each  $y \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $r > 0$ . Each set  $B(\mathbf{K}, 1, p^{-n}) \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 1, p^{-n-1})$  or  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^{n+1}) \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^n)$  is the disjoint union of balls  $B(\mathbf{K}, y_j, p^m)$  or  $B(\mathbf{K}, z_j, p^m)$  with  $m = -n - 1$  or  $m = n$  respectively.

On the other hand, the quotient ring  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)/B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1/p)$  exists. Its additive group is isomorphic with

$$\begin{aligned} [x^n B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)]/[x^n B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1/p)] &= B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^n)/B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^{n-1}) \\ &= x^n [B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)/B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1/p)] \end{aligned}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ , where  $x \in \mathbf{K}$  with  $|x| = p$ . Thus  $\mathbf{K}$  and  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{1\}$  can be presented as disjoint unions  $\mathbf{K} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} B(\mathbf{K}, z_\lambda, r_\lambda)$  and  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{1\} = \bigcup_{\mu \in \Lambda_2} B(\mathbf{K}, y_\mu, r_\mu)$  with  $\text{card}(\Lambda_1) = \text{card}(\Lambda_2) \geq \aleph_0$ . We take any mapping  $\phi : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{1\}$  such that  $\phi : B(\mathbf{K}, z_\lambda, r_\lambda) \rightarrow B(\mathbf{K}, y_{\psi(\lambda)}, r_{\psi(\lambda)})$  is a homeomorphism. For example,  $\phi$  can be chosen affine  $x \mapsto a + bx$  on each ball  $B(\mathbf{K}, z_\lambda, r_\lambda)$ , where  $\psi : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$  is a bijective surjective mapping. Thus  $\phi : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{1\}$  is the topological homeomorphism.

**7. Remark.** Using the preceding lemma we henceforth consider  $\mathbf{s}$  as homeomorphic with

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{s} \simeq s = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} [B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)_j \setminus \{1\}]$$

supplied with the Tychonoff product topology if  $\mathbf{s}$  from §3 will not specified, where  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)_j = B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  for each  $j$ . Then we put

$$(2) \quad s_* = \{y \in s : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (1 - y_m) \dots (1 - y_1) = 0\} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E^n,$$

where  $E^n := \{x \in s : x = (x_1, x_2, \dots), x_k = 0 \ \forall k > n\}$ .

**8. Lemma.** *A ring or a field  $\mathbf{K}$  has the natural uniformity and its completion  $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$  relative to this uniformity is a topological ring or a field correspondingly.*

**Proof.** The norm  $|\cdot|$  in  $\mathbf{K}$  is multiplicative with values in  $G \cup \{0\} \subset \mathcal{R}$ . One can take a diagonal  $\Delta := \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{K}^2 : x = y\}$  in the Cartesian product  $\mathbf{K}^2 = \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{K}$ . This norm induces entourages of the diagonal in  $\mathbf{K}^2$ :  $V_z := \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{K}^2 : |x - y| \leq z\}$  for each  $z \in G$ . Therefore,

$$(E1) \quad \bigcap_{z \in G} V_z = \Delta,$$

since  $x = y$  if and only if  $|x - y| = 0$ .

$$(E2). \quad \text{If } z_1 < z_2 \text{ then } V_{z_1} \subset V_{z_2}, \text{ since } |x - y| < z_1 \text{ implies } |x - y| < z_2.$$

Then we have also

$$(E3) \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in V_z \text{ and } (y, \xi) \in V_b, \text{ then } (x, \xi) \in V_{\max(z, b)}, \text{ since } |x - \xi| \leq \max(|x - y|, |y - \xi|).$$

Naturally, the inclusion  $(x, y) \in V_z$  is equivalent to  $(y, x) \in V_z$ , since  $|x - y| = |y - x|$ . The family  $\mathcal{E}$  of all entourages of the diagonal  $D$  in  $\mathbf{K}$  provides the uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $\mathbf{K}$  compatible with its topology (see Chapter 8 [3]). The completion  $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$  relative to this uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  is the uniformly complete field, since the addition and multiplication operations are uniformly continuous on the ring, also the inversion operation on  $\mathbf{K} \setminus \{0\}$  for the field and they have uniformly continuous extensions on either  $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$  or on  $\tilde{\mathbf{K}} \setminus \{0\}$  respectively.

**9. Notation.** Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be a uniformly complete non-Archimedean field. We define the subset

$$(1) \quad A_1 := \{x \in c_0 : \sup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} |\sum_{j=1}^k x_j| = 1, |1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} x_j| \leq |1 - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j| \ \forall k, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j = 1\} \text{ in } c_0, \text{ also}$$

$$(2) \quad A_1^* := A_1 \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E^n \text{ (see §5).}$$

Another larger subsets we define by the formula:

$$(3) \quad A_2 := \{x \in c_0 : \sup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} |\sum_{j=1}^k x_j| = 1, \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \neq 1 \ \forall k, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j = 1\} \text{ in } c_0, \text{ also}$$

$$(4) A_2^* := A_2 \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E^n.$$

Let  $A_1$  and  $A_1^*$  and also  $A_2$  and  $A_2^*$  be supplied with the topology inherited from the normed space  $c_0$ .

**10. Lemma.** *The topological spaces  $A_1^*$  and  $s_*$  are homeomorphic.*

**Proof.** We define the following mapping  $q : A_1^* \rightarrow s$  so that  $q(x) = y$ , where  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in A_1^*$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots) \in s$  (see §7). The domain of  $y_j$  is  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{1\}$  for each  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ .

If  $x \in A_1$  and  $|1 - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j| = 0$ , then  $|1 - \sum_{j=1}^m x_j| \leq |1 - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j| = 0$  for all  $m > k$ , consequently,  $1 \neq \sum_{j=1}^k x_j$  for each  $x \in A_1^*$  and  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , since  $|1 - b| = 0$  is equivalent to  $b = 1$  and  $x$  does not belong to  $\bigcup_n E^n$ .

We take an arbitrary vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  in  $A_1^*$ . Since  $x_1 \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{1\}$ , we can put  $y_1 = x_1$ . Moreover, we have

$$(1) |1 - x_1 - \dots - x_k| \leq \max(|1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{k+1}|, |x_{k+1}|) \text{ and}$$

$$(2) |x_{k+1}| \leq \max(|1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{k+1}|, |1 - x_1 - \dots - x_k|) = |1 - x_1 - \dots - x_k|$$

for each  $k$  and each  $x \in A_1$ . So we can take  $y_2 = 1 - (1 - x_1 - x_2)/(1 - x_1) = x_2/(1 - x_1)$ . By induction if  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  are marked, then  $x_{m+1} \in B(\mathbf{K}, -x_1 - \dots - x_m, 1) \setminus \{1\}$  and it is sufficient to put

$$(3) y_{m+1} = 1 - (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{m+1})/(1 - x_1 - \dots - x_m) = x_{m+1}/(1 - x_1 - \dots - x_m), \text{ consequently, } y_j \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \text{ for each } j \in \mathbf{N}. \text{ Therefore,}$$

$$(4) (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{m+1}) = (1 - y_{m+1})(1 - x_1 - \dots - x_m) = (1 - y_{m+1}) \dots (1 - y_1)$$

for each natural number  $m \in \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . The inverse mapping  $q^{-1}$  is given by:

$$(5) x_1 = y_1, x_2 = y_2(1 - y_1), x_{m+1} = y_{m+1}(1 - x_1 - \dots - x_m) = y_{m+1}(1 - y_m) \dots (1 - y_1) \text{ for every natural number } m \in \mathbf{N}, \text{ consequently, } |x_j| \leq 1 \text{ for each } j \text{ and } |\sum_{j=1}^k x_j| \leq \max_{j=1}^k |x_j| \leq 1 \text{ for each } k \in \mathbf{N}. \text{ Thus } x_{m+1} = 0 \text{ is equivalent to } y_{m+1} = 0, \text{ since } y_j \neq 1 \text{ for each } y \in s. \text{ But for each } y \in s_*$$

the set  $\{j : y_j \neq 0\}$  is infinite, which is equivalent to the fact that the set  $\{j : x_j \neq 0\}$  is infinite for  $x = q^{-1}(y)$ . That is,  $q^{-1}(s_*) \subset A_1^*$ .

From the definition of  $q$  one can lightly see that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j = 1$  is equivalent to the fact that the limit

$$(6) \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (1 - y_j) \dots (1 - y_1) = 0 \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \text{ exists. Thus the mapping } q \text{ is bijective from } A_1^* \text{ onto } s_*, \text{ moreover, } q \text{ and its inverse } g = q^{-1} : s_* \rightarrow A_1^* \text{ are coordinate-wise continuous.}$$



Let  $x^n$  be a converging sequence in  $A_1^*$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n = x \in A_1^*$ , then  
 $y_j^{n+m} - y_j^n = x_j^{n+m}/(1 - x_1^{n+m} - \dots - x_{j-1}^{n+m}) - x_j^n/(1 - x_1^n - \dots - x_{j-1}^n)$  and  
 $|y_j^{n+m} - y_j^n| \leq$   
 $\max_{0 \leq i \leq j-1} |x_j^{n+m} x_i^n - x_j^n x_i^{n+m}| / [|1 - x_1^{n+m} - \dots - x_{j-1}^{n+m}| |1 - x_1^n - \dots - x_{j-1}^n|] \leq$   
 $\max_{0 \leq i \leq j-1} (|x_j^{n+m} - x_j^n| |x_i^n|, |x_j^n| |x_i^{n+m} - x_i^n|) / [|1 - x_1^{n+m} - \dots - x_{j-1}^{n+m}| |1 - x_1^n - \dots - x_{j-1}^n|],$   
where  $x_0^n = 1$ , consequently, the mapping  $q : A_1^* \rightarrow s_*$  is continuous, since  $s_*$  is in the topology inherited from the Tychonoff product topology on  $s$  (see §7).

If  $y^n$  is a converging sequence in  $s_*$ , then

$$x_j^{n+m} - x_j^n = y_j^{n+m}(y_{j-1}^{n+m} - 1) \dots (y_1^{n+m} - 1) - y_j^n(y_{j-1}^n - 1) \dots (y_1^n - 1),$$

consequently,

$$(7) \quad |x_j^{n+m} - x_j^n| \leq \max(|y_j^{n+m} - y_j^n| |(y_{j-1}^{n+m} - 1) \dots (y_1^{n+m} - 1)|, \\ |y_j^n| |(y_{j-1}^{n+m} - 1) \dots (y_1^{n+m} - 1) - (y_{j-1}^n - 1) \dots (y_1^n - 1)|) \text{ and} \\ \|x^{n+m} - x^n\| = \sup_j |x_j^{n+m} - x_j^n|.$$

But  $|x_j| \leq 1$  for each  $j$  and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_j = 0$ . For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a natural number  $j_0 > 0$  such that  $|x_j| < \epsilon$  for each  $j > j_0$ . Then for each  $\delta > 0$  there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that  $|y_k^n - y_k| < \delta$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, j_0$ . Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x_k - x_k^n| < \epsilon$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, j_0$  and  $n > n_0$ . Then

$$|x_j| \leq \max(|1 - x_1 - \dots - x_j|, |1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{j-1}|) \leq |1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{j_0}| \\ = |(1 - y_{j_0}) \dots (1 - y_1)| \text{ and} \\ (8) \quad |x_j^n| \leq |(1 - y_{j_0}^n) \dots (1 - y_1^n)|$$

for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and each  $j > j_0$ .

Therefore, from Formulas (7, 8) it follows that the mapping  $g = q^{-1} : s_* \rightarrow A_1^*$  is continuous, since  $(1 - y_k) \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  for each  $k$ ,  $|ab| \leq |a||b|$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbf{K}$ , while

$$|x_j - x_j^n| \leq \max(|x_j|, |x_j^n|) \leq |(1 - y_{j_0}) \dots (1 - y_1)| (1 + \delta)$$

for each  $n > n_0$  and  $j > j_0$ , where  $\delta > 0$  can be taken less than  $\epsilon$ .

**11. Lemma.** *Each element  $x \in A_2$  can be presented as  $x = x^1 + x^2$ , where  $1 - x^1$  and  $x^2 \in A_1$ .*

**Proof.** Consider the bounded sequence

$$a_n := |1 - \sum_{j=1}^k x_j| \leq \max(1, |\sum_{j=1}^k x_j|) = 1$$

in  $\mathcal{R}$  and compose the new sequence  $w_1 = a_1$ ,  $w_n = a_n - a_{n-1}$  for each

$2 \leq n \in \mathbf{N}$ , consequently,  $a_n = w_1 + \dots + w_n$  for each  $2 \leq n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then we put  $b_n := \max(w_n, 0)$  and  $c_n := -\min(w_n, 0)$ , consequently,  $w_m = b_m - c_m$  and  $0 \leq b_m$  and  $0 \leq c_m$  for each  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ . Since  $\sum_{j=1}^k x_j \neq 1 \ \forall k$ , and  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j = 1$ , we certainly have the conditions  $a_n > 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\lim_n a_n = 0$ .

Put now  $d_n := \sup_{m \geq n} [\max(b_m, c_m) + a_{m-1}]$  and  $e_n := \inf_{1 \leq m \leq n} a_m$ , where  $a_0 := 0$ , consequently,  $0 \leq d_{n+1} \leq d_n \leq 2$  and  $0 \leq e_{n+1} \leq e_n \leq 1$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\lim_n d_n = 0$  and  $\lim_n e_n = 0$ , since

$$||1 - \sum_1^{m-1} x_j| - |1 - \sum_1^m x_j|| \leq |x_m| \leq 1$$

for each  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $x \in A_2$ . From  $a_n \leq \max(b_n + a_{n-1}, c_n + a_{n-1})$  one gets the inequality  $a_n \leq d_n$  for each natural number  $n$ . On the other hand,  $e_n \leq a_n$  and  $a_n, e_n \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{K}} \cup \{0\}$  for each  $n$ .

If  $y, z \in \mathbf{K}$ ,  $|y| = r_1 \leq r_2$ ,  $|z| = r_2$ , then  $(r_2 - r_1) \leq |y + z| \leq r_2$ .

Consider subsets  $\{(\beta_n, \gamma_n) \in \mathbf{K}^2 : |\beta_n| = a_n, |1 - \gamma_n| = e_n, |1 - \beta_n - \gamma_n| = a_n\}$ . Therefore,  $1 - \beta_n = x_1^1 + \dots + x_n^1$  and  $\gamma_n = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$  give two elements  $1 - x^1$  and  $x^2 \in A_1$  such that  $x = x^1 + x^2$ , where  $x^l = (x_1^l, x_2^l, \dots)$ ,  $x_k^l \in \mathbf{K}$  for each  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $l = 1, 2$ .

**11.1. Corollary.** *There are embeddings:*

- (1)  $A_2 \hookrightarrow (1 - A_1) \cup A_1 \hookrightarrow c_0$  and
- (2)  $A_2^* \hookrightarrow (1 - A_1^*) \oplus A_1^* \hookrightarrow c_0$ .

**Proof.** The first embedding follows from Lemma 10. On the other hand,  $(1 - A_1^*) \cap A_1^* = \emptyset$ , since  $\sum_{j=1}^k x_j \neq 1$  for each  $x \in A_1^*$  and  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  while  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j = 1$ , but  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} y_j = 0$  for each  $y \in 1 - A_1^*$ . Therefore,  $(1 - A_1^*) \cup A_1^* = (1 - A_1^*) \oplus A_1^*$ , since the mapping  $x \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j$  is continuous from  $c_0$  into  $\mathbf{K}$ , at the same time

$$|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z_j| \leq \sup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} |x_j - z_j| = \|x - z\|$$

for every  $x, z \in c_0$ .

**12. Lemma.** *The topological spaces  $A_1^*$  and  $A_2^*$  are homeomorphic.*

**Proof.** The Banach spaces  $c_0$  and  $c$  are linearly topologically isomorphic, since  $c_0 \oplus \mathbf{K}$  is linearly topologically isomorphic with  $c_0$  and with  $c$  as well,

where  $c = c(\omega_0, \mathbf{K})$ ,

$$(1) \quad c(\alpha, \mathbf{K}) := \{x : x = (x_j : j \in \alpha), \forall j \in \alpha \quad x_j \in \mathbf{K},$$

$$\|x\| = \sup_{j \in \alpha} |x_j|, \exists \lim_j x_j \in \mathbf{K}\}.$$

If  $y \in c_0$  put

$$(2) \quad x_1 = y_1, \quad x_j = y_1 + \dots + y_j \text{ for each } 2 \leq j \in \omega_0.$$

Since  $\lim_j y_j = 0$  and  $|\sum_{j=n}^m y_j| \leq \max_{n \leq j \leq m} |y_j|$  for each  $1 \leq n \leq m$ , the series  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty y_j$  converges and  $x \in c$ . Consider  $A_1^*$  and  $A_2^*$  embedded into the Banach space  $c$ ,  $A_l^* \ni y \mapsto x = x(y) \in c$  (see Formula (2)). Take  $x \in A_l^*$ , then  $\|x\| = \epsilon > 0$ , where  $l = 1, 2$ . There exists  $\xi \in A_l^*$  with  $\|x\| = \|\xi\|$  and  $\inf_j |\xi_j| = \delta > 0$  choosing  $0 < \delta \leq \min(1/p, \epsilon)$ . Therefore, if  $\xi \in A_1^*$ , then  $B(A_2^*, \xi, \delta/p) \subset A_1^*$ . Indeed, if  $|a - x_j| \leq \delta/p$  and  $|b - x_{j+1}| \leq \delta/p$ , then  $|a| = |x_j|$  and  $|b| = |x_{j+1}|$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbf{K}$ . That is from  $|x_{j+1}| \leq |x_j|$  it follows, that  $|b| \leq |a|$ .

Let  $\omega(\mathbf{K})$  be the topological weight of the field  $\mathbf{K}$ , then  $A_1^*$  and  $A_2^*$  have coverings by disjoint families  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  of balls such as  $B(A_2^*, \xi, r)$  with  $0 < r \leq \delta/p$  as above, where  $|\mathcal{F}_1| = |\mathcal{F}_2| = \omega(\mathbf{K})|\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}|\aleph_0$ , as usually  $|E| = \text{card}(E)$  denotes the cardinality of a set  $E$ . Each two balls  $B(A_2^*, \xi, r_1)$  and  $B(A_2^*, \eta, r_2)$  in  $A_2^*$  of radius less than  $1/p$  are homeomorphic, since they are disjoint unions of  $\omega(\mathbf{K})\aleph_0$  balls  $B(A_2^*, z, r_3)$  of radius  $r_3 = \min(r_1, r_2)/p$ . Hence  $A_1^*$  and  $A_2^*$  are homeomorphic due to Corollary 11.1.

**13. Definitions.** A topological space  $X$  is called ultrametric, if its topology is given by an ultrametric  $\rho$  having values in  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}} \cup \{0\}$  such that

- (1)  $\rho(x, y) \geq 0$  for every  $x, y \in X$  and  $\rho(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (2)  $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$  for every  $x, y \in X$ ; and a metric satisfies the ultrametric inequality which is stronger than the usual triangle inequality:
- (3)  $\rho(x, z) \leq \max(\rho(x, y), \rho(y, z))$  for every  $x, y, z \in X$ .

If  $X$  is an ultrametric space and  $H_t : X \times B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \rightarrow X$  is a simultaneously continuous mapping so that  $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  and  $H_0 = id$ ,  $H_t : X \rightarrow X$  is a homeomorphism for each  $t$ , then  $H_t$  is called an isotopy. The isotopy is called invertible, if  $H_t^{-1}$  is jointly continuous in  $(x, t) \in X \times B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ .

**14. Lemma.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be an ultrametric space, and let  $A$  and  $B$  be two non intersecting subsets in  $X$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{K}$  is an infinite non discrete

non-archimedean field. Then there exists a continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  such that  $f(A) = \{0\}$  and  $f(B) = \{1\}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $A$  and  $B$  are closed subsets in  $X$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $\inf_{x \in A, y \in B} \rho(x, y) = d > 0$ . A field  $\mathbf{K}$  is non discrete, that is 0 is a limit point of a set  $\{|x| : x \neq 0, x \in \mathbf{K}\}$  in a ring  $\mathcal{R}$ . Therefore, an element  $r \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}$  exists such that  $0 < r < d$ . We take two subsets  $U := \{x \in X : \rho(B, x) \leq r\}$  and  $V := \{x \in X : \rho(A, x) \leq r\}$ , where  $\rho(B, x) := \inf_{y \in B} \rho(x, y)$ . From the ultrametric inequality it follows that two subsets  $U$  and  $V$  do not intersect,  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . The set  $U$  is clopen in  $X$ , since  $B(X, y, r) \subset U$  for each  $y \in U$ , where  $B(X, y, r) := \{z \in X : \rho(y, z) \leq r\}$ , consequently,  $X \setminus U$  is clopen in  $X$ . On the other hand,  $A \subset V \subset (X \setminus U)$ . Next we take a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  such that  $f(x) = 1$  for each  $x \in U$  and  $f(x) = 0$  for each  $x \in X \setminus U$ . This function  $f$  is continuous

**15. Lemma.** Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be closed non intersecting (i.e. disjoint) subsets in an ultrametric space  $(X, \rho)$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{K}$  is an infinite non discrete non-archimedean field and  $b_k \neq b_j \in \mathbf{K}$  for each  $k \neq j, j, k = 1, \dots, n$ . Then there exists a continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  such that  $f(A_k) = \{b_k\}$ .

**Proof.** Subsets  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are closed and disjoint, consequently,

$$\min_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq n} \inf_{x \in A_j, y \in A_k} \rho(x, y) = d > 0.$$

We choose  $r \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}$  such that  $0 < r < d$  and take clopen subsets  $U_j = \{x \in X : \rho(A_j, x) \leq r\}$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $A_j \subset U_j$  for each  $j$  and  $A_n \subset X \setminus (\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} U_k)$ . These clopen subsets  $U_j$  are pairwise disjoint due to the ultrametric inequality. The field  $\mathbf{K}$  is infinite, hence there are distinct elements  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ , that is  $b_k \neq b_j \in \mathbf{K}$  for each  $k \neq j, j, k = 1, \dots, n$ . Take any distinct  $n$  elements  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  in  $\mathbf{K}$ . We construct a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  such that  $f(x) = b_j$  for each  $x \in U_j$  with  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $f(x) = b_n$  for each  $x \in X \setminus (\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} U_k)$ . Since subsets  $U_j$  for each  $j$  and  $X \setminus (\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} U_k)$  are clopen in  $X$ , then the function  $f$  is continuous.

**16. Theorem.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be an ultrametric space. Suppose that  $\mathbf{K}$  is a non-archimedean infinite non discrete locally compact field. Let also  $M$  be a closed subset in  $X$  and let  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  be a continuous function. Then there exists a continuous extension  $g$  of  $f$  on  $X$ ,  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ .

**Proof.** In view of Lemma 6  $\mathbf{K}$  and  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{1\}$  are homeomorphic. Therefore, it is sufficient to consider continuous mappings  $f : M \rightarrow B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ . In this case a constant  $c \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}$  exists such that  $|f(x)| \leq c$  for each  $x \in M$ . On the other hand, each locally compact field  $\mathbf{K}$  has a discrete group  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}$ .

We construct a sequence of functions  $g_n : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  a limit of which  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  will be a continuous extension of  $f$ . Consider a continuous function  $g_n|_M : M \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  from a closed subset  $M$  in  $X$ . A field  $\mathbf{K}$  is locally compact, consequently, the quotient ring  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, c)/B(\mathbf{K}, 0, c/p^n)$  is finite. Hence there are points  $x_{n,j} \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, c)$  such that  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, c)$  is a disjoint union of clopen balls  $B(\mathbf{K}, x_{n,j}, c/p^n)$ , where  $j = 1, \dots, m(n) \in \mathbf{N}$ . We take the clopen subsets  $A_{n,j} := (g_n|_M)^{-1}(B(\mathbf{K}, x_{n,j}, c/p^n))$  in  $M$  and consider clopen subsets  $U_{n,j} := \{x \in X : \rho(x, A_{n,j}) \leq c/p^{n+1}\}$  in  $X$ . Thus  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{m(n)} A_{n,j} = M$ .

In view of Lemma 15 a continuous function  $u_n : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  exists satisfying the conditions

- (1)  $u_n(U_{n,j}) \subset B(\mathbf{K}, x_{n,j}, c/p^n)$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, m(n)$  and
- (2)  $|u_n(x)| \leq c$  for each point  $x$  in  $X$ . Therefore,
- (3)  $|u_n(x) - g_n(x)| \leq c/p^n$  for each  $x \in M$  and
- (4)  $M \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{m(n)} U_{n,j} =: P_{n+1}$ .

Each subset  $P_n$  is clopen in  $X$  and it is possible to take any continuous function  $h : X \setminus P_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ . We continue this process by induction from  $n = 1$  with  $g_1|_M = f$ , further putting

- (5)  $g_{n+1}|_M = f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  and
- (6)  $g_{n+1}(y) = u_n(y)$  for each  $y \in X \setminus (P_n \setminus P_{n+1})$  when  $n \geq 2$  and
- (7)  $g_{n+1}(y) = h(y)$  for each  $y \in X \setminus P_2$ .

Then  $P_{n+2} \subset P_{n+1}$  and  $P_{n+2}$  is clopen in  $P_{n+1}$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and

- (8)  $\bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} P_n = M$ .

Therefore,  $g_{n+k} = g_{n+1}$  on  $X \setminus P_{n+2}$  for each  $k \geq 2$ . From the construction above and formulas (1 – 8) it follows, that  $\lim_n g_n(x) = f(x)$  for each  $x \in M$  and  $g = \lim_n g_n$  is continuous from  $X$  into  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, c)$ , since  $|g_n(x) - g_{n+1}(x)| \leq cp^{-n}$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

**17. Example.** Let  $f_j$  be a continuous surjective mapping from  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  into  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1/p^j)$  such that  $f_j(B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1/p^j)) = \{1\}$

and  $f_j(B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1/p^{j+1})) = \{1\}$  and  $f_j(B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1/p^j) \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1/p^{j+1})) = \{b_j\}$ ,  $b_j \neq 0$  with  $\lim_j b_j = 0$ . Next a mapping  $H_t(x) = (x_1 f_1(t), x_2 f_2(t), \dots)$  exists for each  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in c_0$  and  $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ . Then  $H_t$  is an isotopy, but  $H_t^{-1}$  is not jointly continuous at  $(0, 0) \in c_0 \times B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ , since  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) = B(\mathbf{K}, 1, 1)$ . On the other hand,  $H_0^{-1}$  is continuous on  $c_0$  and  $H_t^{-1}(0)$  is continuous in  $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ .

**18. Definition.** For a subset  $K$  of a totally disconnected Hausdorff topological space  $X$  let  $H_t$  be a 1-parameter family of homeomorphisms onto  $X$ , with  $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ , so that  $H_0 = id$ ,  $H_t(X) = X$  for each  $t \neq 1$ ,  $H_1(X \setminus K) = X$  and  $H_t$  and  $H_t^{-1}$  are jointly continuous in  $(x, t) \in X \times B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ . Then  $H_t$  is called an invertible non-archimedean isotopy pushing  $K$  off  $X$ . Particularly,  $K$  may be a singleton  $\{p\}$ .

If  $a \neq b \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  with  $0 \leq |a| \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq |1 - b| < 1$ , we put  $H[a, b]_t = id$  for each  $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, |a|) \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |1 - b|)$ ,  $H[a, b]_t = H_1$  for  $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |1 - b|)$ ,  $H[a, b]_t = H_{(t-a)/(b-a)}$  for each  $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus [B(\mathbf{K}, 0, |a|) \cup B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |1 - b|)]$ . If  $a, b, c \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ ,  $0 \leq |a| \leq 1$ ,  $0 < |1 - b| < 1$ ,  $0 \leq |1 - c| \leq |1 - b|$ ,  $a \neq b$ ,  $b \neq c$ , the composition  $F[b, c]_t \circ H[a, b]_t$  of two isotopies is defined.

**19. Lemma.** Let  $H^j$  be an invertible (non-archimedean) isotopy of a totally disconnected Hausdorff topological space  $X$  onto  $X$  for each  $j \in \mathbf{N}$  and let  $p_0 \in X$  be a marked point. Let also

(1) for each point  $x \in X \setminus \{p_0\}$  a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $X$  and a natural number  $n(U) \in \mathbf{N}$  exist so that  $H_t^n = id$  on  $H_1^{n(U)} \circ \dots \circ H_1^2 \circ H_1^1(U)$  for each  $n > n(U)$  and

(2) for each point  $y \in X$  a neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$  in  $X$  and an integer  $n(V)$  exist so that  $(H_t^n)^{-1} = id$  on  $V$  and  $H_1^{n(V)} \circ \dots \circ H_1^2 \circ H_1^1(V) \subset (X \setminus \{p_0\})$  for each  $n > n(V)$ .

Then  $H_t = L \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} H^{j+1}[1-p^j, 1-p^{j+1}]_t$  is an invertible (non-archimedean) isotopy pushing  $p_0$  off  $X$ .

**Proof.** For each  $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ ,  $|t| \neq 1$ , a natural number  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  exists such that  $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^{-k})$ , consequently,  $H^j[1-p^j, 1-p^{j+1}]_t = id$  on  $X$ , when  $j \geq 1$ , since  $|t| < |1-p^j| = 1$ . If  $|t| = 1$  and  $t \neq 1$  a non-negative integer  $k$  exists such that  $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, p^{-k}) \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 1, p^{-k-1})$ . Therefore,  $H_t$

reduces to a finite product of homeomorphisms of  $X$  onto  $X$ . This implies that  $H_t$  and  $(H_t)^{-1}$  are continuous for each  $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ .

If  $y \in X \setminus \{p_0\}$  and  $t = 1$ , the continuity of  $H$  at  $(y, 1)$  follows from Condition 1. On the other hand, Condition 2 implies the continuity of  $H^{-1}$  at  $(y, 1)$  for any  $y \in X$ .

**20. Lemma.** *Consider  $\mathbf{s}$  as in §3. Let either  $X = \mathbf{s}$  or  $X = c_0$ . Suppose that  $T$  is a compact subset in  $X$ . Then a homeomorphism  $\eta$  of  $X$  onto  $X$  exists so that  $\pi_1 \circ \eta(T)$  is a single point in  $\mathbf{K}$ , where  $\pi_j : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_j$  is a projection linear over  $\mathbf{K}$ .*

**Proof.** The topological vector spaces  $\mathbf{s}$  and  $c_0$  have projections  $\pi_j$  linear over  $\mathbf{K}$ . The demonstration below is given for  $\mathbf{s}$ , whilst that of  $c_0$  is analogous. Take homeomorphisms  $f_j$  of  $\mathbf{K}_1 \times \mathbf{K}_j$  onto itself, where  $\mathbf{K}_j = \mathbf{K}$  for each  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ , satisfying two conditions:

- (1)  $f_j(x_1, x_j) = (x_1, y_j)$  for each  $(x_1, x_j) \in \mathbf{K}_1 \times \mathbf{K}_j$ , where  $y_j \in \mathbf{K}_j$ , and
- (2) if  $D_j$  is a region in  $\mathbf{K}_1 \times \mathbf{K}_j$  such that  $D_j = \{(x, y) : (x, y) \in \mathbf{K}_1 \times \mathbf{K}_j, |x - x_0| \leq a, |y - y_0| \leq b\}$  for some  $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbf{K}_1 \times \mathbf{K}_j$ ,  $a, b \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}$ ,  $\pi_{1,j}(T) \subset D_j$ , where  $\pi_{1,j} : \mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_1 \times \mathbf{K}_j$  is a linear over  $\mathbf{K}$  projection, and  $f_j(D_j) = \{v : v \in \mathbf{K}_1 \times \mathbf{K}_j, v_1 = t_1(b_1 - a_1) + t_2(c_1 - a_1), v_2 = t_1(b_2 - a_2) + t_2(c_2 - a_2), t_1, t_2 \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)\}$ ,  $a = (a_1, a_2)$ ,  $b = (b_1, b_2)$ ,  $c = (c_1, c_2)$  are marked points in  $\mathbf{K}_1 \times \mathbf{K}_j$  and  $v = (v_1, v_2)$  such that  $f_j(D_j) \cap (w + (\mathbf{K}, 0)) = E_{w,j}$  with

$$\text{diam} E_{w,j} := \sup_{x,y \in E_{w,j}} |x - y| \leq p^{-j}$$

for each  $w \in \mathbf{K}_1 \times \mathbf{K}_j$ .

Then a homeomorphism of  $f$  of  $\mathbf{s}$  onto itself exists such that  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_1, y_2, y_3, \dots)$ , where  $y_j$  is given by Condition (1) for each  $j$ . From the construction of  $f$  it follows that  $f$  is bijective and surjective. Since each  $f_j$  is continuous and  $\mathbf{s}$  is supplied with the Tychonoff topology, then the mapping  $f$  is also continuous.

From Condition 2 it follows that  $f(T) \cap (v + (\mathbf{K}, 0))$  is either a singleton or the void set for each  $v \in \mathbf{s}$ .

Put  $\mathbf{s}_0 := \{x : x \in \mathbf{s}, x_1 = 0\}$  and let  $\pi_0 : \mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{s}_0$  be the corresponding linear over  $\mathbf{K}$  projection onto  $\mathbf{s}_0$ . Therefore, in accordance with the construction above  $\pi_0|_{f(T)}$  is a homeomorphism from  $f(T)$  into  $\mathbf{s}_0$ . Consider the restriction

$\Phi = \pi_1 \circ \pi_0^{-1}|_{\pi_0(f(T))}$ . In view of Theorem 16 it has a continuous extension  $\psi : \mathbf{s}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ , that is,  $\psi|_{\pi_0(f(T))} = \Phi$ .

There exists a homeomorphism  $\xi$  of  $\mathbf{s}$  onto  $\mathbf{s}$  so that  $\xi|_{(p+(\mathbf{K},0))}(x) = x - \Phi(p)$  for each  $p \in \mathbf{s}_0$  and  $x \in p + (\mathbf{K}, 0) \subset \mathbf{s}$ . The desired homeomorphism is  $\eta = \xi \circ f$ , since  $\eta(T) \subset \mathbf{s}_0$  and  $\pi_1(\eta(T)) = \{0\}$ .

**21. Theorem.** *Let  $\{T_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$  be a family of compact subsets of  $X = \mathbf{s}$  or  $X = c_0$ . Then a homeomorphism of  $X$  onto  $X$  exists such that each subset  $g(T_j)$  is infinitely deficient for each  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ .*

**Proof.** If  $\beta_j$  is a family of disjoint subsets of  $\mathbf{N}$  so that  $\bigcup_j \beta_j = \mathbf{N}$ , then  $\mathbf{s}$  and  $c_0$  can be written as  $\mathbf{s} = \prod_j \mathbf{s}^{\beta_j}$  (see §3), and  $c_0 = c_0(c_0(\beta_j) : j)$  respectively, where

$$c_0(Y_j : j) = \{y = (y_1, y_2, \dots) : \forall j \ y_j \in Y_j, \forall \epsilon > 0 \\ \text{card}\{j : \|y_j\| > \epsilon\} < \aleph_0; \|y\| = \sup_j \|y_j\|_{Y_j}\}$$

for a family of Banach spaces  $Y_j$  over  $\mathbf{K}$ . Suppose that a mapping  $\theta : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  has the property that  $\theta^{-1}(j)$  is infinite for each  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then each  $\mathbf{s}^{\beta_j}$  or  $c_0(\beta_j)$  respectively with  $\beta_j = \theta^{-1}(j)$  is homeomorphic with  $\mathbf{s}$  or  $c_0$  respectively. In view of Lemma 20 a homeomorphism  $g_j$  of  $\mathbf{s}^{\beta_j}$  or  $c_0(\beta_j)$  onto itself so that  $g_j(\pi_{\beta_j}(T_{\theta(j)}))$  is deficient relative to the first element of  $\beta_j$ . Put  $g = \prod_j g_j$  for  $\mathbf{s}$  or  $g(y) = (g_1(y_1), g_2(y_2), \dots)$  for each  $y \in c_0 = c_0(c_0(\beta_j) : j)$  respectively. Then  $g(T_j)$  is infinitely deficient for each  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ .

**22. Remark.** Let  ${}_r H_t$  be a two-parameter (non-archimedean) family of homeomorphisms with  $r \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  such that for  $r$  fixed  ${}_r H$  is an isotopy pushing the origin off  $\mathbf{s}$ . If  ${}_r H_t x$  and  $({}_r H_t)^{-1} x$  are continuous in  $r, t$  and  $x \in \mathbf{s}$  or  $c_0$ , then the family  $\{{}_r H_t : r \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)\}$  is called an invertible continuous family of invertible (non-archimedean) isotopies. Henceforth, the case is considered, when  ${}_r H_t$  is the identity outside the  $|r|$ -neighborhood of the origin in  $\mathbf{s}$  or  $c_0$  respectively. The topological space  $\mathbf{s}$  is metrizable with the complete metric

$$(1) \ d(x, y) = \sum_j \min(p^{-j}, |x_j - y_j|^{-1}) \in \mathcal{R}.$$

**23. Lemma.** *There exists an invertible (non-archimedean) isotopy  $H$  pushing the origin  $x_0$  off  $X$ , where  $X = \mathbf{s}$ .*

**Proof.** We consider an invertible (non-archimedean) isotopy  $F^j$  on  $\mathbf{K}_1 \times \mathbf{K}_{j+1}$  satisfying the following conditions:



(1)  $F_t^j(x_1, x_{j+1}) = (x_1, x_{j+1})$  for each  $(x_1, x_{j+1}) \in \mathbf{K}_1 \times \mathbf{K}_{j+1}$  with  $|x_1| < p^{j-1}$ ,

(2)  $F_1^j$  maps the set  $A_j := \{(z_1, z_2) : z_1 = \xi_1, z_2 = \xi_2\gamma + \xi_3(1 - \gamma), \gamma \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)\}$  with  $|\xi_1| = p^{j-1}$  and  $|\xi_2| = p^{-j}$  and  $|\xi_3| = p^j$  onto the set  $B_j := \{(z_1, 0) : z_1 = (\xi_2 + \xi_4)\gamma + (\xi_3 + \xi_4)(1 - \gamma), \gamma \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)\}$  with  $|\xi_4| = p^{j+1}$  such that  $F_j^1(\xi_1, \xi_2\gamma + \xi_3(1 - \gamma)) = ((\xi_2 + \xi_4)\gamma + (\xi_3 + \xi_4)(1 - \gamma), 0)$  for each  $\gamma$ , where  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_4 \in \mathbf{K}$ . Therefore, one gets  $\xi_2\gamma + \xi_3(1 - \gamma) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (\xi_2 - \xi_3)\gamma = -\xi_3$ ,  $\gamma = \frac{\xi_3}{\xi_3 - \xi_2}$  hence  $|\gamma| = 1$  and  $\gamma \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  and there exists  $z \in A_j$  with  $|z_1| = |\xi_1| = p^{j-1}$  and  $z_2 = 0$ . On the other hand, if  $z \in B_j$ , then  $|z_1| = p^{j+1}$ . Conditions (1, 2) can be satisfied using a partition of  $X$  into a disjoint union of clopen subsets.

We consider points  $a \in \mathbf{K}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbf{K}_j$  and  $b \in \mathbf{K}_1 \times \mathbf{K}_{j+1}$  and  $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots) \in X$  such that  $c_1 = 0, \dots, c_j = 0$  and put  $\phi_j(a) = \xi \in \mathbf{K}$  when  $j \geq 2$  such that  $|\xi| = \max(0; q \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}, q \leq 1 - p^{j+1}\|a\|)$ , where  $\|a\| = \sup_{2 \leq l \leq j} |a_l|$ ,  $a = (a_2, \dots, a_j)$ ,  $a_l \in \mathbf{K}_l$  for each  $l$ . Put also  $\phi_1(a) = 1$ . Then a non-archimedean isotopy  $H^j$  of  $X$  onto  $X$  exists so that  $H_t^j(a, b, c) = (a, F_{t\phi_j(a)}^j(b), c)$ . The function  $\phi_j(a)$  is continuous, since balls  $B(\mathbf{K}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbf{K}_j, x, r)$  are clopen in the normed space  $\mathbf{K}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbf{K}_j$  for each  $x \in \mathbf{K}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbf{K}_j$  and  $r \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}$ .

The constructed sequence  $H^j$  of non-archimedean isotopies satisfies Conditions 1 and 2 of Lemma 19, hence

$$H_t^1 = L \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} H^{j+1}[1 - p^j, 1 - p^{j+1}]_t$$

is an invertible non-archimedean isotopy pushing  $x_0$  off  $X$ .

It remains to verify that  $\{H^j\}$  satisfies Conditions 19(1, 2). For this purpose take an arbitrary point  $x \in X \setminus \{x_0\}$  with  $x = (0, \dots, 0, a_j, a_{j+1}, \dots)$ , where  $a_j$  is the first non zero coordinate of  $x$ . For the composition  $Q^j := H_1^j \circ \dots \circ H_1^2 \circ H_1^1$  let  $Q^j(x) = (b_1, \dots, b_j, b_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, \dots)$  and  $Q^{j+1}(x) = (c_1, b_2, \dots, b_{j+1}c_{j+2}, a_{j+3}, \dots)$ , where  $b_2 = 0, \dots, b_j = 0$  for each  $j \geq 2$ . A neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  and an integer  $k$  exist, when one of the coordinates  $b_2, \dots, b_{j+1}, c_{j+2}$  is non zero, so that  $\phi_k = 0$  on  $\pi_{(2, \dots, k)}Q^k(U)$ , where  $\pi_{(l, \dots, k)} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_l \times \dots \times \mathbf{K}_k$  is a  $\mathbf{K}$ -linear projection with  $l < k$ . Therefore,  $H_t^v = id$  on  $Q^k(U)$  for  $v \geq k$ . Particularly,  $Q^j(0, \dots, 0, a_{j+2}, \dots) = (\xi_4, 0, \dots, 0, a_{j+2}, \dots)$ , where  $|\xi_4| = p^{j+1}$ . If  $|b_1| \neq p^j$  and  $c_{j+2} = 0$ , then  $|c_1| < p^{j+2}$  due to Condition (2). In the case  $|c_1| < p^{j+2}$  a

neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  exists so that  $|\pi_1 \circ Q^{j+1}(y)| < p^{j+2}$  for each  $y \in U$ . Thus  $H_t^k = id$  on  $Q^{j+1}(U)$  for each  $k \geq j+1$ . That is, Condition 19(1) is fulfilled. Then Condition 19(2) is satisfied, since  $H_t^{j+1}(y) = y$  when  $|y_1| < p^j$ , while  $|\pi_1 \circ Q^j(x_0)| = p^{j+1}$ .

**24. Lemma.** *Let  $X = X_1 \times X_2$ , where either  $X_1 = \mathbf{s}^\alpha$  and  $X_2 = \mathbf{s}^\beta$  or  $X_1 = c_0(\alpha, \mathbf{K})$  and  $X_2 = c_0(\beta, \mathbf{K})$  with  $\text{card}(\alpha) = \text{card}(\beta) = \aleph_0$ ,  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  have origins  $x_{0,1}$  and  $x_{0,2}$  respectively. Suppose that  $H$  is an invertible (non-archimedean) isotopy pushing  $x_{0,1}$  off  $X_1$  and  $\phi_r : X_2 \rightarrow B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  is a continuous one parameter family of maps with  $r \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\phi_r^{-1}(1) = x_{0,2}$  and  $w : B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \times B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \rightarrow B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  is continuous such that  $w : B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{0\} \times B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{0\}$  is a mapping onto  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{0\}$  so that  $w^{-1}(1) = (1, 1)$  and  $w([\{0\} \times B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)] \cup [B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \times \{0\}]) = \{0\}$ . Then  ${}_r H_t(x, y) = (H_{w(t, \phi_r(y))}(x), y)$  defines an invertible continuous one parameter with  $r \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  family of invertible (non-archimedean) isotopies pushing the origin off  $X$  for each  $r$ .*

**Proof.** In two considered cases  $X$  is either  $\mathbf{s}$  or  $c_0$ . Since  $\phi_r(y)$  is continuous on  $(B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{0\}) \times X_2$ , then the composite mapping  $w(t, \phi_r(y)) : B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \times [B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{0\}] \times X_2 \rightarrow B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  is continuous. But  $H_b^{-1}$  is a continuous (non-archimedean) isotopy. Therefore,  ${}_r H_t^{-1}(x, y) = (H_{w(t, \phi_r(y))}^{-1}(x), y)$  is the continuous non-archimedean isotopy. On the other hand,  $\phi_r(x_{0,2}) = 1$  for each  $r$ , consequently,  ${}_r H_1(x_{0,1}, x_{0,2}) = (H_1(x_{0,1}), x_{0,2}) \neq x_0$  and  ${}_r H_1(X) = \{H_{w(t, \phi_r(y))}(X_1), y : y \in X_2\} = X$  for each  $r$ . Moreover,  ${}_r H_0(x, y) = (H_{w(0, \phi_r(y))}(x), y) = (H_0(x), y) = (x, y) = id(x, y)$ , since  $w(0, b) = 0$  for each  $b \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ .

**25. Lemma.** *An invertible continuous non-archimedean one parameter family of invertible isotopies  ${}_r \tilde{H}$  exists with  $r \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{0\}$  each pushing the origin  $x_0$  off  $\mathbf{s}$  so that  ${}_r \tilde{H}_t$  is the identity mapping outside a neighbourhood  $U_r$  of  $x_0$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two infinite subsets in  $\mathbf{N}$  such that  $\beta = \mathbf{N} \setminus \alpha$ . We define the metric on  $X^\gamma = \mathbf{s}^\gamma$  by the formula

$$d_\gamma(x, y) = \sum_{j \in \gamma} \min(p^{-j}, |x_j - y_j|) \in \mathcal{R},$$

where  $x, y \in \mathbf{s}^\gamma$ . Take in particular  $\gamma = \alpha$  or  $\gamma = \beta$ . Suppose without loss

of generality that  $1, 2, 3 \in \beta$ , hence the diameter of  $\mathbf{s}^\alpha$  is less than  $p^{-3}$ ,  $\text{diam}(\mathbf{s}^\alpha) := \sup_{x, y \in \mathbf{s}^\alpha} d_\alpha(x, y) < p^{-3}$ .

Next we consider a non-archimedean isotopy  $H$  pushing the origin off  $\mathbf{s}^\alpha$  (see Lemma 23). There exists a continuous map  $\phi$  of  $\mathbf{s}^\beta$  on  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  so that  $\phi^{-1}(1) = x_{0,2}$  while  $\phi(x)$  is zero for each  $x \in \mathbf{s}^\beta \setminus B(\mathbf{s}^\beta, x_{0,2}, p^{-3})$ , where  $B(\mathbf{s}^\beta, x_{0,2}, q) = \{y \in \mathbf{s}^\beta : d_\beta(x, y) \leq q\}$ ,  $q \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}$ . This is possible, since  $B(\mathbf{s}^\beta, x_{0,2}, q)$  is clopen in  $\mathbf{s}^\beta$  for  $q > 0$ . Put  ${}_1H_t(x, y) = (H_{w(t, \phi(y))}(x), y)$  when  $d((x, y), x_0) \geq p^{-2}$ , since  $1, 2, 3 \in \beta$  and  $d_\beta(y, x_{0,2}) \geq p^{-3}$  and hence  $\phi(y) = 0$ . We denote by  $l$  the least natural number in  $\alpha$  and by  $k$  a natural number in  $\beta$  greater than  $l$ . Let  $\beta_1$  be the subset of  $\mathbf{N}$  formed from  $\beta$  by the substitution  $k \mapsto l$ , while  $\alpha_1$  is made from  $\alpha$  substituting  $l$  with  $k$ .

There exists a family  $F_\lambda$ , with  $\lambda \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ , of transformations of  $\mathbf{s}$  given by the formula:  $F_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$  with  $y_j = x_j$  for each  $j \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{l, k\}$ ; whilst  $y_l = (1 - \lambda)x_l$  when  $|(1 - \lambda)x_l| > |\lambda x_k|$ ,  $y_l = \lambda x_k$  when  $|\lambda x_k| \geq |(1 - \lambda)x_l|$ ;  $y_k = \lambda x_l$  when  $|\lambda x_l| \geq |(1 - \lambda)x_k|$ ,  $y_k = (1 - \lambda)x_k$  when  $|(1 - \lambda)x_k| > |\lambda x_l|$ .

Let  $f(r)$  be a locally affine continuous mapping from  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  onto  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  so that  $f(B(\mathbf{K}, \xi_1, p^{-2})) = B(\mathbf{K}, 1, p^{-2})$  for  $\xi_1 \in \mathbf{K}$  with  $|\xi_1| = p^{-1}$ ,  $f(B(\mathbf{K}, 1, p^{-l-1}) \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 1, p^{-l-2})) = B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^{-l-1}) \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^{-l-2})$  for each natural number  $l$ ,  $f(1) = 0$ .

Define the mapping  ${}_rH_t := F_{f(r)}^{-1} \circ {}_1H_t \circ F_{f(r)}$  for each  $r \in W := [B(\mathbf{K}, \xi_1, p^{-2}) \cup B(\mathbf{K}, 1, p^{-2})]$ . If  $d(x, x_0) \geq p^{-2}$  and  $r \in W$ , then  $d(F_{f(r)}(x), x_0) \geq d(x, x_0)$  and hence  ${}_rH_t(x) = x$ , since  $|1 - \lambda| = 1$  for any  $\lambda \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^{-1})$  and  $|\lambda| = 1$  for each  $\lambda \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, p^{-1})$ .

Now we define  ${}_rH$  for  $r \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, p^{-1}) \setminus W =: C_1$  so that  ${}_rH_t(x, y) = (S_{w(t, \phi_r(y))}(x), y)$  for each  $x \in \mathbf{s}^{\alpha_1}$  and  $y \in \mathbf{s}^{\beta_1}$ , where  $T$  is a linear over  $\mathbf{K}$  operator on  $\mathbf{s}$  interchanging a finite number of coordinates,  $S_t := T^{-1} \circ H_t \circ T$ .

Let  $\xi_1$  be a marked point as above and define  $\phi_{\xi_1}$  as a map of  $\mathbf{s}^{\beta_1}$  onto  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  such that  $\phi_{\xi_1}^{-1}(1) = x_{0,2}$  and  $\phi_{\xi_1}(y) = 0$  for each  $y \in \mathbf{s}^{\beta_1} \setminus U_1$ , where  $U_1$  is a small neighborhood of  $x_{0,2}$ ,  $U_1 = \{y \in \mathbf{s}^{\beta_1} : d_{\beta_1}(x_{0,2}, y) \leq p^{-3}\}$ . This mapping  $\phi_{\xi_1}$  can be presented as the composition  $\phi_{\xi_1} = \phi \circ T_1$ , where a mapping  $T_1 : \mathbf{s}^{\beta_1} \rightarrow \mathbf{s}^{\beta_1}$  is given by the formula  $T_1(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$  with  $y_j = x_j$  for each  $j \notin \{l, k\}$ , also  $y_l = x_k$  and  $y_k = -x_l$ .

If  $d(z, x_0) \geq p^{-2}$ ,  $z = (x, y)$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{s}^{\alpha_1}$ ,  $y \in \mathbf{s}^{\beta_1}$ , then  $\phi_{\xi_1}(y) = 0$ , since  $d(y, x_{0,2}) \geq p^{-2}$  and  $d(T_1(y), x_{0,2}) \geq p^{-2}$ . For  $\xi_v \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^{-v}) \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^{-v-1}) =: C_v$  with a natural number  $2 \leq v \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $\phi_v$  be a continuous mapping of  $\mathbf{s}^{\beta_1}$  onto  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  so that  $|\phi_{\xi_{v+1}}(y)| \leq |\phi_{\xi_v}(y)|$  for each  $y \in \mathbf{s}^{\beta_1}$ , also  $\phi_{\xi_v}^{-1}(1) = x_{0,2}$ ,  $\phi_{\xi_v} = 0$  for each  $y$  with  $d(y, x_{0,v}) > p^{-v-2}$ , where  $x_{0,v}$  is the origin in  $\mathbf{s}^{\beta_v}$ ,  $T_v$  and  $\alpha_v$  and  $\beta_v$  are defined by induction. For each  $y \in \mathbf{s}^{\beta_v}$  let

$$\frac{\phi_{\xi_v}(y) - \phi_r(y)}{\phi_{\xi_{v-1}}(y) - \phi_r(y)} = \frac{\xi_v - r}{\xi_{v-1} - r}$$

when  $\phi_{\xi_v}(y) \neq \phi_{\xi_{v-1}}(y)$  for each  $r \in C_v \setminus \{\xi_v\}$ , while  $\phi_r(y) = \phi_{\xi_v}(y)$  when  $\phi_{\xi_v}(y) = \phi_{\xi_{v-1}}(y)$ . Put  ${}_r H_t(x, y) = (S_{w(t, \phi_r(y))}(x), y)$  for each  $r \in C_v$  by induction on  $2 \leq v \in \mathbf{N}$ .

On the other hand, due to Lemmas 6 and 15, Theorem 16 there exists a homeomorphism  $\theta$  of topological spaces from  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{0\}$  onto  $[B(\mathbf{K}, \xi_1, p^{-2}) \cup B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^{-2}) \cup B(\mathbf{K}, 1, p^{-2})] \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\theta(\xi_1) = \xi_1$ ,  $\theta(1) = 1$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \theta(t) = 0$ , hence  ${}_{\theta(r)} H_t$  is the claimed isotopy  ${}_r \tilde{H}_t$ .

**26. Lemma.** *There exists an invertible non-archimedean isotopy  $F$  pushing a point off  $A_0$ .*

**Proof.** We consider the set  $c_0(1) := \{x \in c_0 : x_1 = 1, x = (x_1, x_2, \dots), \forall j \in \mathbf{N} \ x_j \in \mathbf{K}\}$  and points  $q_j = (q_{j,1}, \dots, q_{j,j}, 0, 0, \dots) \in c_0$ ,  $q_{j,1} = 1, \dots, q_{j,j} = 1$  for each  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ . Take the neighborhood  $U_j = \{(1, x_2, \dots) \in c_0(1) : \max_{i=2}^j |1 - x_i| < p^{-j}\}$  of the point  $q_j$ . Define  $H_t^1(x) = x + te_2$ ,  $H_t^i(x) = x + p^{1-i}t\eta_i(x)e_{i+1}$ , where  $\eta_i(x) \in \mathbf{K}$ ,  $|\eta_i(x)| = d(x, c_0(1) \setminus U_i)$  for each  $i \geq 2$ , and

$$H_t = L \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} H^{i+1}[1 - p^i, 1 - p^{i+1}]_t,$$

where  $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  is the vector with unit  $i$ -th coordinate and zero others. In view of Theorem 4.1 [1] and Lemma 19  $H$  is an invertible non-archimedean isotopy pushing  $q_1$  out of  $c_0(1)$ .

The topological space  $c_0(1)$  is the closed subset in  $c_0$  and is a union of  $\omega(\mathbf{K})|\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}|\aleph_0$  disjoint balls  $B(c_0(1), x, r)$ , where  $x \in c_0(1)$ ,  $r \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}$ ,  $\omega(P)$  denotes the topological weight of a topological space  $P$ , whilst  $\text{card}(S) = |S|$  denotes the cardinality of a set  $S$ . Then the topological space  $A_0$  is also the closed subset in  $c_0$ . The topological spaces  $c_0$  and  $c_0 \oplus \mathbf{K}$  are linearly

homeomorphic, but  $c_0 \oplus \mathbf{K}$  is also linearly homeomorphic with  $c$ , consequently,  $c_0$  and  $c$  are linearly homeomorphic. Consider the homomorphism  $\nu : c_0 \rightarrow c$  of  $c_0$  onto  $c$ .

Particularly, consider linear topological isomorphism  $\nu : c_0 \rightarrow c$  such that  $\nu(x) = y$  with  $y_k = \sum_{j=1}^k x_j$  for each  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , since the series  $\sum_{j=1}^k x_j$  converges if and only if  $\lim_j x_j = 0$  due to the ultrametric inequality. Moreover, the topological space  $\nu(A_0) =: W_0$  is the disjoint union of  $\omega(\mathbf{K})|\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}|\aleph_0$  balls  $B(c, y, r)$  with  $y \in W_0$  and  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}} \ni r \leq p^{-1}$ , since from  $y \in W_0$  and  $z \in B(c, 0, r)$  it follows  $|y_k + z_k| \leq \max(|y_k|, |z_k|) \leq 1$  for each natural number  $k$  and from  $|y_l| = 1$  it follows  $|y_l + z_l| = 1$ , while  $\nu(A_0) = \{y \in c : \sup_k |y_k| = 1\}$ .

Therefore, each two balls  $B(c_0(1), x, r)$  and  $B(c, y, q)$  with  $r, q \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}$  are homeomorphic. Thus  $A_0$  and  $c_0(1)$  are homeomorphic. Therefore, there exists an invertible non-archimedean isotopy  $F_t(y) = \psi^{-1} \circ H_t \circ \psi(y)$ , where  $\psi$  is a homeomorphism of  $A_0$  onto  $c_0(1)$  described above.

**27. Corollary.** *There exists an invertible non-archimedean isotopy  $G$  pushing a point off  $c_0$ .*

**Proof.** The topological spaces  $c_0$  and  $c_0(1)$  are homeomorphic with a homeomorphism  $\eta : c_0 \rightarrow c_0(1)$ . Indeed, the topological space  $c_0$  can be presented as the disjoint union of  $\omega(\mathbf{K})|\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}|\aleph_0$  balls  $B(c_0, x, r)$  with  $x_0 \in c_0$  and  $r \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}$ . From §26 it follows that  $G_t(y) = \eta^{-1} \circ H_t \circ \eta(y)$  is an invertible non-archimedean isotopy pushing a point off  $c_0$ .

**28. Lemma.** *There exists an invertible non-archimedean isotopy  $H$  pushing the origin  $x_0 = 0$  off  $c_0$  so that  $H_t$  is the identity outside the unit ball in  $c_0$  containing zero for each  $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ .*

**Proof.** The unit ball  $B(c_0, 0, 1)$  is clopen in  $c_0$ . On the other hand,  $B(c_0, 0, 1)$  is homeomorphic with  $A_0$ . An invertible non-archimedean isotopy evidently has an extension from  $B(c_0, 0, 1)$  onto  $c_0$ . From Lemma 26 and the equality  $B(c_0, 0, 1) = B(c_0, x, 1)$  for each  $x \in B(c_0, 0, 1)$ , particularly, for  $\|x\| = 1$ , the statement of this lemma follows.

**29. Lemma.** *There exists an invertible continuous one parameter  $r \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  family of invertible non-archimedean isotopies  ${}_r H$  each pushing the origin  $x_0$  off  $c_0$  such that  ${}_r H_t$  is the identity outside  $B(c_0, 0, |r|)$  for each  $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ .*

**Proof.** If  $r \in \mathbf{K} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $m_r : c_0 \rightarrow c_0$  is the linear homeomorphism of  $c_0$  onto  $c_0$ , where  $m_r(x) = rx$  for any  $x \in c_0$ . Therefore, the desired isotopy is given by the formula:  ${}_rH_t = m_r \circ H_t \circ m_{1/r}$ , where  $H_t$  is the isotopy provided by Lemma 28.

**30. Lemma.** *Let  $X$  be one of the topological spaces  $c_0$  or  $\mathbf{s}$ , let also  $\Omega$  be an open covering of  $X$ . Suppose that  $\alpha$  is an infinite proper subset of  $\mathbf{N}$  and  $Q$  is a closed subset in  $X$  deficient with respect to  $\alpha$ . Then for any open subset  $U$  containing  $Q$  a homeomorphism  $g$  of  $X \setminus Q$  onto  $X$  exists such that  $g$  is limited by  $\Omega$ ,  $h|_{X \setminus U} = id$ ,  $\pi_j(x) = \pi_j(g(x))$  for each  $j \in \beta$ , where  $\beta = \mathbf{N} \setminus \alpha$ .*

**Proof.** In view of Lemma 4 there exists the decomposition of  $X$  into the product of two topological spaces  $X = X^\alpha \times X^\beta$ , where either  $X^\alpha = c_0(\alpha, \mathbf{K})$  or  $X^\alpha = \mathbf{s}^\alpha$  for either  $X = c_0$  or  $X = \mathbf{s}$  respectively.

Without loss of generality we consider the case  $\pi_\alpha(0) = x_{0,\alpha}$ , where  $x_{0,\alpha} = 0 \in X^\alpha$ . Put  $\pi_\beta(Q) = Q'$ , hence  $Q' \subset X^\beta$ .

Take an open covering  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $X$  of mesh less than one relative to the norm on  $c_0$  or the metric  $d$  on  $\mathbf{s}$  so that

- (1)  $\mathcal{W}$  is a refinement of  $\Omega$ ,
- (2) if  $S \in \mathcal{W}$  and  $S \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ , then  $S \subset U$ .

Then let  $v(y)$  be a function defined by the formula:

- (3)  $v(y) = \sup\{\epsilon : \epsilon \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}, \exists S \in \mathcal{W} B(X, (x_{0,\alpha}, y), p\epsilon) \subset S\}$ , where  $y \in X^\beta$ . This function satisfies the inequality  $v(y) > 0$  for each  $y \in X^\beta$ .

For a set  $A = \{y \in X^\beta : B(X, (x_{0,\alpha}, y), v(y)) \text{ is not contained in } U\}$  we define the function

- (4)  $\tau(y) := d(y, A)/(d(y, A) + d(y, Q'))$ , when  $A$  is non void,  $A \neq \emptyset$ , while  $\tau(y) = 1$  for  $A = \emptyset$ . This definition implies that  $(cl A) \cap Q' = \emptyset$ ,  $\tau(y) = 1$  for each  $y \in Q'$  and  $\tau(y) = 0$  for any  $y \in A$ .

In accordance with Lemmas 25 and 29 an invertibly continuous family  ${}_rH$  with  $r \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus \{0\}$  of invertible non-archimedean isotopies exist each pushing  $x_{0,\alpha}$  off  $X^\alpha$  and so that  ${}_rH_t|_{X^\alpha \setminus B(X^\alpha, x_{0,\alpha}, |r|)} = id$ .

We then define the mapping

- (5)  $g(x, y) = ({}_{w(y)}H_{\mu(y)}(x), y)$  and verify below that it satisfies the desired properties, where  $w(y)$  and  $\mu(y)$  are continuous mappings from  $X^\beta$  into

$\mathbf{K}$  such that  $\frac{v(y)}{p} \leq |w(y)| \leq v(y)$  and  $\frac{\tau(y)}{p} \leq |\mu(y)| \leq \tau(y)$  for each  $y \in X^\beta$ , where  $\mu(y) = 1$  for each  $y \in Q'$ . This implies that  ${}_{w(y)}H_{\mu(y)}$  is a homeomorphism of  $(X^\alpha \setminus \{x_{0,\alpha}\}) \times \{y\}$  onto  $X^\alpha \times \{y\}$ , when  $y \in Q'$ , since  $\mu(y) = 1$  for each  $y \in Q'$ . Then  ${}_{w(y)}H_{\mu(y)}$  is a homeomorphism of  $X^\alpha \times \{y\}$  onto  $X^\alpha \times \{y\}$ , since  $\tau(y) < 1$  for any  $y \in X^\beta \setminus Q'$ .

As the composition of continuous mappings, the mapping  $g(x, y)$  given by Formula (5) also is continuous, since  ${}_rH$  is a continuous family of isotopies. The inverse mapping is given by the formula  $g^{-1}(x, y) = ({}_{w(y)}H_{\mu(y)}^{-1}(x), y)$ . Since  ${}_rH$  is an invertibly continuous family of invertible non-archimedean isotopies, then  $g^{-1}$  is continuous. Thus  $g$  is a homeomorphism of  $X \setminus Q$  onto  $X$ .

Formula (5) implies that  $\pi_j(z) = \pi_j(g(z))$  for every  $z \in X$  and  $j \in \beta$ , since  $z = (x, y)$  with  $x \in X^\alpha$  and  $y \in X^\beta$ .

On the other hand, the mapping  $g$  is the identity on  $X \setminus U$ , since

(6)  ${}_rH_t|_{X^\alpha \setminus B(X^\alpha, x_{0,\alpha}, |r|)} = id$  and  $B(X, (x_{0,\alpha}, y), |w(y)|) \subset U$  when  $\tau(y) \neq 0$ . Moreover, Condition (6) implies that either  $g(x, y) = (x, y)$  or  $x$  and  ${}_{w(y)}H_{\mu(y)}^{-1}(x) \in B(X^\alpha, x_{0,\alpha}, |w(y)|)$ . But the definition of  $w(y)$  means that  $(x, y)$  and  $g(x, y)$  belong to an element  $S$  of a covering  $\nu$  containing  $(x_{0,\alpha}, y)$ , i.e.  $(x_{0,\alpha}, y) \in S$ .

**31. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be either  $c_0$  or  $\mathbf{s}$ , let also  $U$  be an open subset in  $X$ . Suppose that  $\{K_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a sequence of closed subsets of  $X$  so that  $K_j \subset U$  and  $K_j$  has an infinite deficiency for each  $j$ . Then a homeomorphism  $g$  of  $X \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty K_j$  onto  $X$  exists so that  $g|_{X \setminus U} = id$ .*

**Proof.** The definition of the infinite deficiency implies that for each  $K_j$  an infinite subset  $\beta_j \subset \mathbf{N}$  exists so that  $K_j$  is deficient with respect to  $\beta_j$ . The family  $\{K_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is countable and  $\pi_l(K_j)$  consists of a single element for each  $l \in \beta_j$ , consequently, there exists a disjoint family of infinite subsets  $\alpha_j \subset \beta_j$ ,  $\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j = \emptyset$  for each  $i \neq j$ , such that  $K_j$  is infinite deficient with respect to  $\alpha_j$ .

In accordance with Lemma 30 a sequence of homeomorphisms  $g_j$  and a sequence of coverings  $G_j$  with  $j \in \mathbf{N}$  satisfying conditions of Theorem 4.3 [1] exist. Mention that results of §4 [1] are also valid for a metric space with a metric  $d$  satisfying the non-archimedean inequality and with values

in  $\mathcal{R}$  substituting in proofs  $1/2$  on  $1/p < 1 \in \mathcal{R}$ , since a ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is complete as a uniform space. That is, for each natural number  $j \geq 1$  there exists a homeomorphism  $g_j$  of  $X \setminus g_{j-1} \circ \dots \circ g_1 \circ id(K_j \setminus \bigcup_{l=1}^{j-1} K_l)$  onto  $X$  with  $g_0 = id$  such that  $g_j(x) = y$  for each  $x \in X \setminus g_{j-1} \circ \dots \circ g_1 \circ id(K_j \setminus \bigcup_{l=1}^{j-1} K_l)$  with  $x_k = y_k$  for any  $k \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \alpha_j$ . Therefore, the mapping  $L \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} g_j$  is a homeomorphism of  $X \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j$  onto  $X$ .

**32. Corollary.** *The topological space  $c_0 \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E^j$  is homeomorphic with  $c_0$ .*

**33. Corollary.** *If  $\{C_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a countable family of compact subsets of  $\mathbf{s}$ , then  $\mathbf{s} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{s}$ .*

**Proof.** A homeomorphism  $g$  of  $\mathbf{s}$  onto itself so that  $g(C_j)$  is infinitely deficient for each  $j \in \mathbf{N}$  exists due to Theorem 21. Then from Theorem 31 the assertion of this corollary follows.

**34. Corollary.** *Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $c_0$ , let also  $K_j$  be a compact subset in  $U$  for each natural number  $j$ . Then a homeomorphism  $g$  of  $c_0 \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j$  onto  $c_0$  exists so that  $g|_{c_0 \setminus U} = id$ .*

**Proof.** In view of Lemma 20 and Theorem 21 a homeomorphism  $\eta$  of  $c_0$  onto  $c_0$  exists such that  $\eta(K_j)$  is infinitely deficient. Then by Theorem 31 there exists a homeomorphism  $\xi$  of  $c_0 \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} g(K_j)$  onto  $c_0$  so that  $\xi|_{c_0 \setminus \eta(U)} = id$ , consequently,  $g = \eta^{-1} \circ \xi \circ \eta$ .

**35. Lemma.** *There exists a homeomorphism of  $c_0 \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E^n$  with  $A_2^*$ .*

**Proof.** The topological space  $A_2 := \{x \in c_0 : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq k \in \mathbf{N}} |\sum_{j=1}^k x_j| = 1, \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \neq 1 \ \forall k \in \mathbf{N}, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j = 1\}$  is homeomorphic with  $A_3 := \{y \in c : \sup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} |y_k| = 1, y_k \neq 0 \ \forall k\}$ . Indeed, the mapping  $h_1(x) = y$  such that  $y_k = (\sum_{j=1}^k x_j)$  is the required homeomorphism, since  $x_1 = 1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} x_j$  and  $|x_1| \leq |1|$ ,  $\lim_k y_k = (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j) = 1$ . Therefore, the space  $A_2^*$  is homeomorphic with  $A_3^* = A_3 \setminus \bigcup_n E^n$ , since  $x_n = 0$  is equivalent to  $y_n = y_{n-1}$  and  $E^n = E^1 \oplus E^{n-1}$ , where  $E^n$  is homeomorphic with  $\mathbf{K}^n$ .

The topological spaces  $c$  and  $A_3$  are homeomorphic as disjoint unions of  $|\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}| \aleph_0 \omega(\mathbf{K})$  balls  $B(c, x, q)$  with  $q \leq 1/p$ ,  $q \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}$ , where  $x \in c$  or  $x \in A_3$  respectively. On the other hand,  $c_0$  and  $c$  are homeomorphic (see §26), whilst the topological spaces  $c_0$  and  $c_0 \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E^j$  are homeomorphic by Corollary 32. Thus  $c_0 \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E^j$  is homeomorphic with  $A_3^*$  due to Theorem 31 and hence



with  $A_2^*$ .

**36. Lemma.** *The topological spaces  $s_*$  and  $s$  are homeomorphic.*

**Proof.** Consider the topological space  $s$  realized as in §7. The mapping  $h(x) = y$  with  $y_k = x_k - 1$  for each  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  is the isomorphism of  $s$  with

$$s_1 := \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)_j \setminus \{0\}).$$

Put  $C_1^j := \{y \in P : y_k = -1 \text{ or } y_k = 0 \ \forall k > j\}$ , where

$$P = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)_j.$$

Since a field  $\mathbf{K}$  is locally compact and the unit ball  $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$  of it is compact, then the topological space  $P$  is compact and each subset  $C_1^j$  in it is compact. In view of Theorem 4.3 [1], Remark 7 and Lemma 25 and Corollary 33 the topological spaces  $s_1$  and  $s_{1*} := s_1 \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} C_1^j$  are homeomorphic. Therefore, the topological spaces  $s_*$  and  $s$  are homeomorphic.

**37. Remark.** Thus Theorem 2 follows from the sequence of homeomorphisms  $c_0 \approx c_0 \setminus \bigcup_j E^j \approx A_2^* \approx A_1^* \approx s_* \approx s$  demonstrated above in Corollary 32, Lemmas 35, 12, 10 and 36.

Theorem 2 has a generalization over a field  $\mathbf{F}$  of separable type over  $\mathbf{K}$ , i.e. when  $\mathbf{F}$  has a multiplicative norm extending that of  $\mathbf{K}$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}} \subset \Gamma_{\mathbf{F}}$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  has an equivalent norm  $|\cdot|_{1,\mathbf{F}}$  not necessarily multiplicative so that  $|a|_{\mathbf{F}}/p \leq |a|_{1,\mathbf{F}} \leq p|a|_{\mathbf{F}}$  and  $|a|_{1,\mathbf{F}} \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{K}} \cup \{0\}$  for each  $a \in \mathbf{F}$  and  $(\mathbf{F}, |\cdot|_{1,\mathbf{F}})$  is isomorphic as the Banach space over  $\mathbf{K}$  with  $c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K})$ . Indeed,  $c_0(\omega_0, c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K}))$  is isomorphic with  $c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{F})$  and  $(\mathbf{K}^{\omega_0})^{\omega_0}$  is isomorphic with  $(c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K}))^{\omega_0}$  and hence with  $\mathbf{F}^{\omega_0}$ , consequently, the topological spaces  $c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{F})$  and  $\mathbf{F}^{\omega_0}$  are homeomorphic.

**38. Corollary.** *If the topological  $\mathbf{K}$  linear space  $c_0(\alpha)$  with  $\text{card}(\alpha) > \aleph_0$  is supplied with the projective limit topology  $\tau_{pr}$  induced by  $\mathbf{K}$  linear projection operators  $\pi_{\beta}^{\alpha} : c_0(\alpha) \rightarrow c_0(\beta)$  associated with the standard base  $\{e_j : j \in \alpha\}$  for each  $\beta \subset \alpha$  with  $\text{card}(\beta) = \aleph_0$ , then  $(c_0(\alpha), \tau_{pr})$  is topologically homeomorphic with  $(\mathbf{K}^{\alpha}, \tau_{ty})$ .*

**Proof.** Mention the fact that the topological space  $(\mathbf{K}^{\alpha}, \tau_{ty})$  can be presented as the projective limit of topological spaces  $(\mathbf{K}^{\beta}, \tau_{ty})$  with  $\beta \subset \alpha$ ,

$\text{card}(\beta) = \aleph_0$ , when  $\text{card}(\alpha) > \aleph_0$  (see also [3]). Therefore, applying Theorem 2 we get this corollary.

**39. Conclusion.** The results of this paper can be used for subsequent studies of non-archimedean topological vector spaces and manifolds on them.

## Список литературы

- [1] R.D. Anderson, R.H. Bing. "A complete elementary proof that a Hilbert space is homeomorphic to the countable infinite product of lines". Bull. Amer. Mathem. Soc. **74: 5** (1968), 771-792.
- [2] B. Diarra. "Ultraproduits ultrametriques de corps values". Ann. Sci. Univ. Clermont II, Sér. Math. **22** (1984), 1-37.
- [3] R. Engelking. "General topology". 2-nd ed., Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, V. 6 (Berlin: Heldermann Verlag, 1989).
- [4] V.V. Fedorchuk, A.Ch. Chigogidze. "Absolute retracts and infinite dimensional manifolds"(Moscow: Nauka, 1992).
- [5] Y. Jang. "Non-Archimedean quantum mechanics". Tohoku Math. Publ. **10** (1998).
- [6] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Non-archimedean polyhedral expansions of ultrauniform spaces". Russ. Math. Surveys. V. **54: 5** (1999), 163-164. (in details: Los Alamos National Laboratory, USA. Preprint **math.AT/0005205**, 39 pages, 22 May 2000).
- [7] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Non-archimedean polyhedral decompositions of ultrauniform spaces". Fund. Prikl. Mathem. **6: 2** (2000), 455-475.
- [8] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Embeddings of non-archimedean Banach manifolds into non-archimedean Banach spaces". Russ. Math. Surv. **53** (1998), 1097-1098.

- [9] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Quasi-Invariant and Pseudo-Differentiable Measures in Banach Spaces"(New York: Nova Science Publishers, Inc., ISBN 978-1-60692-734-2, 2009).
- [10] L. Narici, E. Beckenstein. "Topological vector spaces"(New York: Marcel Dekker Inc., 1985).
- [11] " $p$ -Adic Mathematical Physics."2nd International Conference (Belgrad, 2005). Editors: A.Yu. Khrennikov, Z. Rakić, I.V. Volovich. AIP Conference Proceedings **826**, New York, 2006.
- [12] A.C.M. van Rooij. "Non-Archimedean functional analysis"(New York: Marcel Dekker Inc., 1978).
- [13] W.H. Schikhof. "Ultrametric calculus"(Cambridge: Cambr. Univ. Press, 1984).
- [14] V.S. Vladimirov, I.V. Volovich, E.I. Zelenov. " $p$ -adic analysis and mathematical physics"(Moscow: Nauka, 1994).
- [15] A. Weil. "Basic number theory"(Berlin: Springer, 1973).